1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WESTERN DIVISION
11	JOSE ALBERTO HERNANDEZ,)
12	Petitioner,) Case No. CV 14-2881 JAK (AJW)
13	v.) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
14	R. E. BARNES, WARDEN,) RECOMMENDATION OF) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	Respondent.)
15 16	·
	·
16	Respondent.)
16 17	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the
16 17 18	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and
16 17 18 19	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact,
16 17 18 19 20	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after
16 17 18 19 20 21	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after having made a <u>de novo</u> determination of the portions to which
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after having made a <u>de novo</u> determination of the portions to which objections were directed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after having made a de novo determination of the portions to which objections were directed. DATED: August 18, 2016
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after having made a <u>de novo</u> determination of the portions to which objections were directed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The Court has reviewed the entire record in this action, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge ("Report"), and petitioner's objections. The Court accepts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations contained in the Report after having made a <u>de novo</u> determination of the portions to which objections were directed. DATED: <u>August 18, 2016</u> John A. Kronstadt